THE EMPIR RADON INTERCOMPARISON

- EMPIR Project Metrology for Radon -

T. R. Beck

German Federal Office for Radiation Protection (BfS)





EMPIR Project *Metrology for Radon*

Radon Intercomparison & Validation of Traceability

- Selection of a suitable reference instrument for the use as transfer standard and its preparation for the intercomparison (good linearity and repeatability of the measurements, a high measurement range, mechanical robustness, ease of use).
- Developing of a protocol for the comparison, including a form for the participants to document their calibration procedures and measures for quality assurance.
- Excecution of the comparison.



EMPIR Project *Metrology for Radon*

Radon Intercomparison & Validation of Traceability

 Assessment of the results of the intercomparison regarding their closeness of agreement (precision). Conclusions shall be drawn for the realization of radon activity concentration in air at the European radon calibration facilities in the range from 300 Bq/m³ to 10 000 Bq/m³.



EMPIR Project *Metrology for Radon*

Radon Intercomparison

The comparison was carried out according to the EURAMET Guide on Comparison and taking into account the CCQM Guidance note on the estimation of a consensus reference value.



Transfer comparison device: AlphaGUARD PQ 2000 PRO TTL





Transfer Comparison Device

Procedure for checking linearity and precision

Slope (estimated from regression) $\lambda = 0,007502 \pm 0,000025 h^{-1}$





Transfer Comparison Device

Procedure for checking linearity and precision



U includes a coverage factor of 2



Transfer Comparison Device

Regular checks of background and instrument settings





Procedure:

Comparison device calibrated with a primary radon gas standard was shipped to European radon calibration facilities for a comparison with their existing secondary standards.





Protocols, Information and Documentation

Information about

- Instrument used as transfer comparison device
- Course of the comparison
- Shipment and transport
- Concentration levels

Provision of forms

- for recording calibration procedures and
- for reporting results

Basis for compiling the data and calculating the results



Participants	MNE	Montenegro
15 Laboratories (12 EU countries + Montenegro) NMI & DI: 7 National Authorities: 5 Universities/Research: 3	BEV-PTP IRSN STUK SUJCHBO BFKH CLOR SSM UNICAN SMU UBB IFIN-HH UPC BfS ENEA	Austria France Finland Czech Rep. Hungary Poland Sweden Spain Slovakia Romania Romania Spain Germany Coordinator Italy



Radon Intercomparison

Laboratory Reference (Status 2018/2019)

No. of Participants	Laboratory Reference
13	AlphaGUARD (various models), 3 participants use additional instruments like scintillation cells (2 participants) or Atmos (1 participant) as working standards or for other verification purposes.
1	Atmos
1	Radon Scout



Radon levels

	Nominal value	Accepted deviation	
1	400 Bq∙m ⁻³	350 Bq·m⁻³ – 450 Bq·m⁻³	
2	1000 Bq∙m ⁻³	900 Bq·m⁻³ – 1100 Bq·m⁻³	
3	6000 Bq∙m ⁻³	5500 Bq·m⁻³ – 6500 Bq·m⁻³	



Data Assessment

Quantity of Comparison, R_i

Ratio of radon activity concentrations determined by participant and by transfer device:

$$R_i = \frac{\bar{C}_{Participant,i}}{\bar{C}_{transfer,i}}$$

Relative standard deviation of R_i :

$$u_{rel,i}^{2} = \left(\frac{\Delta R_{i}}{R_{i}}\right)^{2} = \left(\frac{\Delta \bar{C}_{Participant,i}}{\bar{C}_{participant,i}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\Delta \bar{C}_{transfer,i}}{\bar{C}_{transfer,i}}\right)^{2}$$

Only statistical uncertainties, no calibration uncertainties



Data Assessment

Uncertainty-weighted mean \overline{R} of all participants

$$\bar{R} = \frac{\frac{R_1}{u_1^2} + \dots + \frac{R_n}{u_n^2}}{\frac{1}{u_1^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{u_n^2}} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i R_i$$

Normalized weights:

 $w_i = \frac{1/u_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n 1/u_i^2}$



Results related to comparison device



All uncertainties are given with the extension k=1.



Consistency check of results

Hypothesis H_0 : Results belong to the same basic population Hypothesis H_1 : Results do not belong to the same basic population

Test statistic

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(R_{i} - \bar{R}_{i})^{2}}{u_{i}^{2}}$$

n: Number of participants

Hypothesis H₀ has to be rejected, if $\chi^2 \ge \chi^2_{n-1;1-\alpha}$

 $\chi^2_{n-1;1-\alpha}$: Quantile of the χ^2 distribution for the significance level $1-\alpha$



Consistency check of results, Error probability 5% (α =0,05)

Radon Level	п	χ^2	$\chi^2_{n-1;1-\alpha}$
400 Bq/m³	10	10,5 <	< 16,9
1000 Bq/m³	11	5,5	< 18,3
6000 Bq/m³	10	5,2 <	< 16,9
All	36	25,2 <	4 9,8

Hypothesis H₀ cannot be rejected: The results are samples of the same population.



The results are mutually consistent. Participants share a common mean value. Deviations from the mean value are normally distributed.



Results related to comparison device



All uncertainties are given with the extension k=1.

Bundesamt für Strahlenschutz

Data Assessment

Revised Quantity of Comparison, R_i^*





Results indicating the deviations from the common mean



All uncertainties are given with the extension k=1.



Variance of R_i^* for quantifying the closeness of agreement

Radon Level [Bq/m³]	Variance extended by a factor of 2 (k=2)*
400	6,3
1000	4,0
6000	3,4
All levels including the singular exposures	3,4

* Confidence interval for a coverage of 95%

The radon activity concentrations realized by the European calibration facilities fluctuate around a common mean value. The range of variation is about 4 % for above 1000 Bq/m³ and about 6 % for a level of 400 Bq/m³.



Comparison with the results of the EUROMET Project 657

(final report 2005)

Radon Level	Confidence Interval at 95% (k=2)			
[Bq/m³]	This work (all participants)	Project 657		
400	0,063			
1000	0,040	0,057		
3000		0,075		
6000	0,034			
10000		0,081		

As lower the concentration as higher the deviations Little improvement comparted to the former comparison



Summary

- 15 laboratories (12 EU countries + Montenegro; NMI & DI, National Authorities, Universities/Research) participated in the intercomparison. 13 laboratories were included in the assessment.
- The current status of the realization of radon activity concentration in air at European radon calibration facilities was validated.
- AlphaGUARD instruments are often used as reference standards. In some cases other types of instruments are also used.
- The results are mutually consistent. Not outlier was observed.
- European calibration services realize the quantity radon activity concentration within a range of 4 % to 6 % (k=2) around the common mean value.







Additional observations



Additional observations

1. Measurement uncertainties and weightings

$$\bar{R} = \frac{\frac{R_1}{u_1^2} + \dots + \frac{R_n}{u_n^2}}{\frac{1}{u_1^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{u_n^2}} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i R_i$$

Normalized weights:

$$w_i = \frac{1/u_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n 1/u_i^2}$$

Bundesamt für Strahlenschutz

Results indicating the deviations from the common mean



All uncertainties are given with the extension k=1.



Measurement uncertainties and weightings



Weights of the different participants calculated according to their reported uncertainties



Additional observations

2. Influence of climatic conditions on the calibrations



Climatic conditions during the calibrations at the participants



Ranges: Temperature 18°C – 29°C Air pressure 950 hPa – 1025 hPa Rel. Humidity <10% – 63%

Do the different climatic conditions affect the results?



Test for Influence of Climatic Conditions

Multiple Correlation, Coefficient of Determination

$$r_{R,(T,p,rH)}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{R,T} \\ r_{R,p} \\ r_{R,rH} \end{pmatrix}^{T} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r_{T,p} & r_{T,rH} \\ r_{T,p} & 1 & r_{p,rH} \\ r_{T,rH} & r_{p,rH} & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} r_{R,T} \\ r_{R,p} \\ r_{R,rH} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$r_{X,V}^{r}: \text{Pearson correlation coefficient}$$

Test statistic
$$F = \frac{r_{R,(T,p,rH)}^2(n-1-q)}{q(1-r_{R,(T,p,rH)}^2)}$$
 n: Number of participants *q*: Number of characteristics (*q* = 3)

Correlation between x and y has to be rejected, if $F > F_{q,n-1-q;1-\alpha}$ $F_{q,n-1-q;1-\alpha}$: Quantile of the F distribution for the significance level $1 - \alpha$



Test for Influence of Climatic Conditions

Multiple Correlation

	Test Statistic				$F_{3,5;1-\alpha}$ $\alpha = 0,05$	
	Rn ActCon [Bq/m ³]	400	1000	6000		
ion	$r_{R,T}^2$	0,052	0,048	0,003		
ninat	$r_{R,p}^2$	0,021	0,001 🤇	0,746		
tern	$r_{R,rH}^2$	0,042	0,072	0,123	Correlatio	n between
of de	$r_{T,p}^2$	0,039	0,099	0,079	RnC and a	ir pressure
ent o	$r_{T,rH}^2$	0,122	0,221	0,131	at 6000 Bc	/mª !
Coefficie	$r_{p,rH}^2$	0,270	0,148	0,251		
	$r_{R,(T,p,rH)}^2$	0,157	0,391	0,857		
	<i>F</i> -value	0,311	1,068 🤇	9,990	5,409	

Correlation of at least one pair of parameter!



Influence of climatic conditions on the calibrations





The correlation between the quantity of comparison and air pressure at an exposure level of 6000 Bq/m³ is statistically significant.

Is it a random artifact or is there a real reason behind it?

