



# MetroRADON Intercomparison of indoor radon measurements under field conditions

#### **Carlos Sainz**

Daniel Rábago, Santiago Celaya, Enrique Fernández, Luis Quindós, Jorge Quindós, Alicia Fernández, Ismael Fuente, Luis Santiago Quindós.

Radon Group, University of Cantabria, Spain

Laruc laboratory



### Introduction

#### ★ <u>A3.3.1 – UC ( First intercomparison call)</u>

Document with a brief intercomparison description

#### A3.3.2 – UC-JRC (Participants Selection)

It was stablished a maximum number of participants: 20

Radon in air (Passive and Actives): Laboratory of Natural Radiation (LNR)

Radon Exhalation and Soil gas measurements: LNR outdoor areas

#### A.3.3.3 – UC-JRC (Intercomparison Activity)

Carried out on 5<sup>th</sup> – 8<sup>th</sup> November 2018

#### A.3.3.4 – UC-JRC (Results Analysis)





### Introduction



• Completed in February 2019

Uploaded to MetroRADON web page

**Documents\Reports & Journal Publications** 

http://metroradon.eu/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/Report WP3 3 3 MetroRADON Intercomparison final-1.pdf

### Introduction

#### Maximum participants number was reached: 20

Activity	Participant number	No. of Results
Rn in Air (Passive)	19	23
Rn in Air (Active)	8	22
Rn in soil	5	5
Exhalation	3	3



# List of Participants

Acronym	Institution	Country
CIEMAT	Centro de investigaciones energéticas, medioambientales y tecnológicas	Spain
CLOR	Central Laboratory for Radiological Protection	Poland
ENEA	ENEA Radon Service	Italy
INAIL	Italian National Institute for Insurance against Accidents at work	Italy
IRSN	Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire	France
JRC	Joint Research Centre	Italy
LaRUC (UC)	Laboratory of environmental radioactivity, University of Cantabria	Spain
LRAB - UEX	LRAB - Universidad de Extremadura	Spain
LRG	Laboratorio de Radón de Galicia	Spain
LRN-UC	Laboratorio de Radioatividade Natural - Universidade de Coimbra	Portugal
NRCN	Nuclear Research Center Negev	Israel
PUCP	Pontificia Universidad Católica Del Perú	Peru
RADONOVA	Radonova Laboratories AB	Sweden
Radosys	Radosys / Radosys Atlantic	Portugal/Hungary
RERA- CIEMAT	Centro de investigaciones energéticas, medioambientales y tecnológicas	Spain
STUK	Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority	Finland
SUBG	Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski"	Bulgaria
SUJCHBO	National Institut for NBC Protection	Czech Republic
TR	TECNO RAD s.u.r.l.	Italy
UBB	Babes-Bolyai University	Romania

Monitor	Detection technology	Sensitivity (cpm at 1 kBq m <sup>-3</sup> )
AlphaGUARD	lonisation chamber	50
ATMOS12 DPX	lonisation chamber	20
SARAD EQF 3120	Silicon detector	7
Radon Scout	Silicon detector	1.8
Radon Scout Home	PIN photo diode	0.1



	Detector	Diffusion chamber	
	CR-39 RSKS 100 mm <sup>2</sup> (Radosys)	Diameter 26 mm, height 55 mm 29 cm <sup>3</sup> volume	
	CR-39 24.7×36.7×1.40 (mm) (Mi-Net)	ENEA patent	
	CR-39 Radout 25×25×1.5 (mm) (Mi.am)	Diameter 50 mm, height 20 mm	
	CR-39 TASTRAK 13×37×1 (mm) (Tasl)	Diameter 58 mm, height 20 mm NRPB/SSI	
	CR-39 Duotrack (Radonova)	Diameter 58 mm, height 40 mm	
	CR-39 Radtrak2 (Radonova)	Diameter 58 mm, height 20 mm NRPB/SSI	
	CR-39 Rapidos (Radonova)	Diameter 58 mm, height 40 mm	
	ST Electret Teflon (E-PERM)	L-OO Chamber 58 mL	
	ST Electret Teflon (E-PERM)	S Chamber 210 mL	
	LR-115 type2 400 mm <sup>2</sup> (DOSIRAD)	Diameter 60.4 mm, height 27.6 mm Own design	
	LR-115 (KODAK) RAMARN device 0.012 mm film of cellulose nitrate, and coated on 0.1 mm thick polyester base	Polypropylene chamber 700 cm <sup>3</sup> volume	
	Makrofol 75.7 mm <sup>2</sup> STUK design "Radonpurkki"	Diameter 20 mm, height 71 mm 79 cm <sup>3</sup> volume	
	DVD half made of polycarbonate and two thin Makrofol N foils	Thin CD case	
Page 1			

### Site description

#### LABORATORY OF NATURAL RADIATION (SAELICES EL CHICO, SALAMANCA, SPAIN)











Pictures: Hynek, 2018

Radon indoors active detectors



- The Laboratory of Natural Radiation (LNR) A place to test radon instruments under variable conditions of radon concentration and climatic variables Nukleonika **2016**; 61 (3): 275-280.
- 4 International Intercomparisons
- 2 Training Courses sponsored by IAEA, Radon for building professionals, 2017 and 2018



### **EXPOSURE CONDITIONS**



### Data analysis

# Reference Values obtained from consensus value ISO 13528:2015.

There are *p* items of results denoted as:  $E_i = E_1 , E_2 , E_3, \dots, E_p$ Calculate initial values for  $E_{ref}$  and  $s^*$  as:  $E_{ref}$  = median of  $E_i$  $s^* = 1.485$  median of  $|E_i - E_{ref}|$ Update the values of  $E_{ref}$  and  $s^*$  as follows. Calculate:  $\delta = 1.5 \, s^*$  $E_i^* = \begin{cases} E_{ref} - \delta & \text{when} & E_i < E_{ref} - \delta \\ E_{ref} + \delta & \text{when} & E_i > E_{ref} + \delta \\ E_i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ Calculate the new values of  $E_{ref}$  and  $s^*$  from:  $E_{ref}$  = mean of  $E_i^*$  $s^* = 1.134 \cdot \text{SD}(E_i^*)$ The robust estimates  $E_{ref}$  and  $s^*$  are derived by an iterative calculation, i.e. by updating the values of  $E_{ref}$  and  $s^*$  several times until the process converges.



### Data analysis



### **Data analysis - Metrics**

**Relative Difference** 

$$D_{i}(\%) = 100 \cdot \frac{E_{i} - E_{ref}}{E_{ref}}$$
Zeta Score
$$\zeta_{i} = \frac{E_{i} - E_{ref}}{\sqrt{m^{2}(E_{i}) + m^{2}(E_{ref})}}$$

$$\zeta_i = \frac{E_i - E_{ref}}{\sqrt{u^2(E_i) + u^2(E_{ref})}}$$

z-score



*E<sub>ref</sub>*: Reference value

u(E<sub>ref</sub>): uncertainty of reference value

E<sub>i</sub>: Exposure of each participant

u(Ei): Participant exposure uncertainty

 $\sigma_p$ : Standard deviation for the intercomparison assessment

> 20% for the first exposure 10% for the second exposure

### **Data analysis - Metrics**

$$D_i(\%) = 100 \cdot \frac{E_i - E_{ref}}{E_{ref}}$$

 $\zeta_i = \frac{E_i - E_{ref}}{\sqrt{u^2(E_i) + u^2(E_{ref})}}$ 

$$z_i = \frac{E_i - E_{ref}}{\sigma_p}$$

 $|\zeta|; |z| \le 2.0$  result is considered satisfactory 2.0 <  $|\zeta|; |z| < 3.0$  result is considered to give a problem  $|\zeta|; |z| \ge 3.0$  is considered not satisfactory

ζscore	z-score	Action to take
Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Participant's result is good. No action is required.
Not satisfactory	Satisfactory	Participant's claimed uncertainty is too low, but the result fulfils the intercomparison requirements.
Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Participant's uncertainty assessment is accurate but the results do not fulfil the intercomparison requirements.
Not Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Participant's result is biased in excess. A complete revaluation should be performed.



Exposure	E <sub>ref</sub>	u(E <sub>ref</sub> )	$\sigma_p$	<b>S</b> *	p
<b>E1</b>	356	8	71	43	45
<b>E2</b>	1014	13	101	68	41

Reference parameters of the first exposure E1 and second exposure E2 expressed in kBq m<sup>-3</sup> h obtained from participant results according to ISO 13528:2015. *p* is the dimensionless number of results.

#### **First Exposure**



16



#### **Second Exposure**





**Relative difference** of participant's results to the mean value for the first and second exposure. Intervals established for the first exposure (± 10%) and second exposure  $(\pm 20\%)$ are indicated.



Absolute values of Zeta score for the first and second exposure.



Absolute values of zscore for the first and second exposure.

### **EXHALATION**

#### A.3.3.4 – UC-JRC (Rn Exhalation and Rn in soil)

#### Laboratory of Natural Radiation

**Green Ballesteros** 







#### A.3.3.4 – UC-JRC (Rn Exhalation)

Code	$J~(\mathrm{Bq}~\mathrm{m}^{\text{-2}}~\mathrm{h}^{\text{-1}})$	$u(J) \ ({ m Bq} \ { m m}^{-2} \ { m h}^{-1})$	Date $(2018)$	Methodology
	0.0	5 Nov 15:30 to	Gradient method with	
LO9E1			7 Nov 13:30	polycarbonate foils
		1020	6 Nov 15:30	Accumulation method
	14719	1959	(approx. 1 hour.)	
L20E1 35100	8200	15 Nov 10:00 to	Absorption in activated	
		16 Nov 10:00	charcoal collector	

The participants did not perform the exhalation measurements during the same time and weather conditions due to the different methodologies involved, which could explain the widespread of results.

### **RADON IN SOIL**

#### A.3.3.4 – UC-JRC (Rn in soil)

"Green Ballesteros"			
Code	$C_{ m soil} \ ( m kBq\ m^{-3})$	$u(C_{ m soil}) \ ({ m kBq~m^{-3}})$	Methodology
L03S1	602	57	Absorption in polycarbonate foils
L10S1	546	143	Continuous monitoring
L13S1	789	74	Etched track detectors
L17S1	894	37	Continuous monitoring
L20S1	840	140	Grab sampling in ionization chamber plus measure with electrometer

Radon in soil results, between 550 and 900 kBq m<sup>-3</sup>, are acceptable taking into account the arithmetic mean value and its standard deviation.

### CONCLUSIONS

#### OVER 80% OF THE RESULTS FOR RADON IN AIR EXPOSURE ARE WITHIN THE INTERVAL DEFINED BY THE REFERENCE VALUE AND THE STANDARD DEVIATION

#### AROUND 90% OF LABS OBTAINED A SATISFACTORY z-SCORE FOR BOTH EXPOSURES

#### AROUND 60% OF RESULTS ARE SATISFACTORY REGARDING Z SCORE

#### IDENTIFICATION OF DEGASSING PROBLEMS IN SOME DETECTORS – FAST VARIATIONS IN RADON CONCENTRATION!

# **Thanks for your attention!**